#### DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

# UNITED STATES FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In Re Applications of:	)	WT D	OCKET	No.:	02-28		
ALEE CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS	<b>)</b>	File	No.:	11025-	CL-P672-A-8	39 F	FC
For Authorization To Construct Nonwireless Cellular System in Texas RSA 21 Market 672A	t) ) )					Nov 20 9 09 AN O	C-OALJ RCD

Volume: 4

Pages: 473 through 653

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: October 24, 2002

#### HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters

1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, **D.C.** 20005-4018 (202) 628-4888 hrc@concentric.net



## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of:

ALEE CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS

For Authorization To Construct)
Nonwireless Cellular System
in Texas RSA 21 Market 672A

MT DOCKET No.: 02-28

Pile No.: 11025-CL-P672-A-89

in Texas RSA 21 Market 672A

Courtroom TW-363
Federal Communications
Cornmission
445 12th Street S.W.
Washington, D.C.

Thursday, October 24, 2002

The parties met, pursuant to notice of the Commission, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: HONORABLE ARTHUR I. STEINBERG Administrative Law Judge

#### **APPEARANCES:**

#### On behalf of Applicant:

David L. Hill, Esquire
Audrey P. Rasrnussen, Esquire
Hall, Estill, Hardwick, Gable,
Golden & Nelson, P.C.
1120 20th Street, N.W.
Suite 700, North Building
Washington D.C. 20036
(202) 973-1200 (Phone)
(202) 973-1212 (Facsimile)

#### APPEARANCES (continued):

#### On behalf of the Agency:

Judy A. Lancaster, Esquire
Gilberto DeJesus, Esquire
Federal Communications Commission
Enforcement Division
Hearing and Investigation Section
445 12th Street
Room 3-C408
Washington, D.C. 20054
(202) 418-7331 (Phone)
(202) 418-7223 (Facsimile)

### On behalf of Intervenor (Miller Communications, Inc. & Ranger Cellular:

Donald J. Evans, Esquire
Raymond J. Quianzon, Esquire
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C.
1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22209
(703) 812-0400 (Phone)
(703) 812-0486 (Facsimile)

1		<u>I</u> <u>N</u>	<u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>			
2						VOIR
3	WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	DIRE
4	Terry H. Jones			478	481	
5					482	
6					483	
7	Robert A. Bernstein	485	493			
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24	Hearing Began: 9:00	a.m,	Hear	ing Ended:	3:45 p.1	m.
25						

1		<u>E X H I B I T</u>	<u>S</u>	
2		IDENTIFIED	RECEIVED	REJECTED
3	Alee Cellular:			
4	Alee-6	477	481	
5	Alee-7	471	481	
6	Alee-2	487	493	
7				
8	Enforcement Bureau:			
9	EB-12	513	514	
10	EB-28	555		
11	EB-29	557	<del>-</del> -	
12	EB-24	580	580	
13	EB-25	584	584	
14	EB-13	Prev	617	
15	EB-15	618		
16	EB-18	624	624	
17	EB-19	Prev	639	
18				
19	<pre>Intervenor:</pre>			
20	I-6	prev.	631	
21	I-7	prev.	633	
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	(9:00 a.m.)
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: We are on the record now, and I
4	see Mr. Jones is back in the witness stand. Mr. Hill, do
5	you have redirect?
6	MR. HILL: I do. If I could hand this to you,
7	Your Honor, $I$ ask that a one-page document, legal size, at
8	the top captioned Alee Cellular Communications Partnership
9	Contribution Analysis with a 12/31/98 date be identified as
10	Alee Exhibit 6. I have given the court reporter two copies
11	of that.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. The document described
13	will be marked for identification as Alee Exhibit No. 6.
14	(The document referred to was
15	marked for identification as
16	Alee Exhibit 6.)
17	MR. HILL: I also ask that a one-page document
18	with the heading in script Capital Call No. 12 be identified
19	as Alee Exhibit 7. I have given the court reporter two
20	copies of that document.
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. The document described
22	will be marked for identification as Alee Exhibit No. 7.
23	(The document referred to was
24	marked for identification as
25	Alee Exhibit 7.)

- 1 Whereupon,
- 2 TERRY H. JONES
- having been previously duly sworn, was called as a
- 4 witness and was examined and testified as follows:
- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. HILL:
- 7 Q Mr. Jones, do you have what's been marked as Alee
- 8 Exhibit 6 in front of you?
- 9 A I do, sir.
- 10 Q Do you recognize that documents?
- 11 A Yes, sir. It is the calculation of the change of
- 12 ownership interest.
- 13 Q Did you prepare it, or was it prepared under your
- 14 supervision?
- 15 A Yes, sir, it was.
- Q Can you tell us from that document how many
- 17 partnership interest recalculations there had been for the
- 18 Alee partners?
- 19 A Yes, sir. There was one that took place after
- 20 capital call number 12, and there was another one which took
- 21 place after capital call number 14.
- Q Were these two recalculations the only
- recalculations of partnership interest pursuant to the
- 24 provisions of the partnership agreement?
- A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q Looking at the first recalculation, you say that
- 2 occurred after capital call 12?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Would you look at what's been identified as Alee
- 5 Exhibit 7.
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Do you recognize that?
- 8 A Yes, sir. That is a record of the capital calls
- 9 as they were sent and received.
- 10 Q Is this from your records?
- 11 A Yes, sir, it is.
- 12 Q Looking at that document, can you tell us when
- 13 capital call number 12 was made?
- 14 A On October 9, 1992, the capital call was sent out.
- 15 MR. DeJESUS: Can you repeat that, please?
- THE WITNESS: October 9, 1992.
- 17 BY MR. HILL:
- Q Based upon the October 1992 date for capital call
- 19 number 12, can you give me the time line for the first
- 20 recalculation?
- 21 A The capital calls are sent out. There is a 30 day
- 22 window in which to return. It was common practice at that
- time to send out a second notice for those who hadn't paid
- in an attempt to raise all of the funds available. So that
- would have closed sometime in 1993.

- 1 Q Can you give us a more precise window for the
- 2 closing in 1993?
- 3 A Based upon some of the transactions that see
- 4 here, we received a contribution towards the end of January
- 5 '93.
- 7 right?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 9 BY MR. HILL:
- 10 Q Then when would the first recalculations have been
- reflected on the records of the partnership?
- 12 A Not until sometime between the first and second
- 13 quarter of 1993.
- MR. HILL: I have no further questions. I told
- 15 you if you let us go at 4:00 we would be precise this
- 16 morning.
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you want to move the Exhibits
- 18 6 and 7?
- 19 MR. HILL: Thank you, Your Honor. I do want to
- 20 move the introduction of Exhibit No. 6.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Any objections?
- MR. DeJESUS: No objections.
- MR. EVANS: No objections.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Alee Exhibit 6 is received.
- 25 //

1	(The document referred to,
2	previously identified as Alee
3	Exhibit No. $6$ , was received in
4	evidence.)
5	MR. HILL: Your Honor, I now move the introduction
6	of Alee Number 7.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: Any objections?
8	MR. DeJESUS: No, Your Honor.
9	MR. EVANS: No objections.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Alee Exhibit 7 is r ived.
11	(The document referred to,
12	previously identified as Alee
13	Exhibit No. 7, was received in
14	evidence.)
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: Any re-cross?
16	MR. DeJESUS: Yes, Your Honor.
17	RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. DeJESUS:
19	Q With capital call number 14, when was the date
20	th t th t capital call was made?
21	A That capital call was made approximately October
22	1995.
23	Q Do you also have an exhibit that would also
24	indicate the percentage, the break down, as well? Was that
25	prepared?

- 1 A For '95?
- 2 Q Yes.
- A I don't have that with me, sir. It would have
- 4 been in my records.
- Were these documents provided to us via discovery?
- 6 A Yes, sir, they were.
- 7 Q Okay. With reference to the October 1995 capital
- 8 call, do you have a comparable listing concerning the
- 9 breakdown that you prepared?
- 10 A I'm sure there is in those records.
- MR. DeJESUS: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 12 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT'D)
- 13 BY MR. EVANS:
- Q Mr. Jones, on Alee Exhibit 6 about half way down
- 15 the page, there's reference to two percent transfer of
- ownership from Bunis to Cellular Dream.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q I take it from your testimony, you're not calling
- 19 that a recalculation of interest?
- 20 A No, sir.
- Q But there was, in fact, a change in Mr. Bunis'
- 22 ownership interest, right?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- O That occurred sometime in 1991 or 1992?
- 25 A Based upon the document that I have here, it would

- 1 have had to have occurred before October ninth of '92.
- 2 Q Right.
- MR. EVANS: Thank you. Nothing further.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Could you give me the dates
- 5 again for that, please?
- 6 THE WITNESS: For which one, sir?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: The Bunis thing. It was just
- 8 your answer.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Sometime before the October 9, 1992.
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: But you are not sure how far
- 11 before?
- 12 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure of that date.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- MR. EVANS: Can I just ask one further question
- 15 FURTHER RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION
- BY MR. EVANS:
- 17 Q Over the breaks, did you have an opportunity to go
- back and look at the K-1's for the partnership?
- 19 A The K-l's?
- 20 Q Yes.
- 21 A Yes. I believe I had those sent down to me, yes.
- Q Okay. Did you **look** at the K-l for 1992?
- 23 A I believe I had that K-1 sent to me, yes.
- Q Did it show that there had been any changes in the
- partnership interest as of the end of 1992?

- A I don't recall whether that was in '32 or in '93
- 2 that I saw the change take place.
- 3 Q Well, based on your testimony this morning, the
- 4 K-l for 1992 should not have shown any changes in the
- 5 partnership interest, right?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 O Other than Mr. Bunis'?
- E A That would be correct if that would have occurred
- 9 prior to then, yes.
- 10 Q When you looked at the K-l last night, right?
- 11 A The 1333 K-1's reflect the percentages as
- 12 reflected on the recalculations.
- 13 0 What about the 1992?
- 14 A They do not reflect the changes as a result of the
- 15 recalculations.
- 16 Q Are you sure?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Okay because a moment ago you weren't sure.
- 19 A A moment ago I thought you were asking a different
- 20 question, sir.
- Q All right.
- MR. EVANS: Nothing further.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Mr. Jones, you are
- excused. Thank you very much for coming and testifying.
- 25 Have a nice trip back to Pennsylvania.

- 1 THE WITNESS: It was a pleasure visiting your
- 2 city.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You have to come back soon.
- 4 (Witness excused.)
- 5 MR. HILL: Could we have a five minute break?
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. Off the record.
- 7 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- E JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record. Mr.
- 9 Bernstein, could you please stand and raise your right hand?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 Whereupon,
- 12 ROBERT A. BERNSTEIN
- having been duly sworn, was called as a witness
- 14 and was examined and testified as follows:
- 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. HILL:
- 17 O Mr. Bernstein, would you please state your full
- 18 name for the address -- record, please?
- 19 A Yes. My name is Robert Bernstein, 10 Persimmon
- 20 Way, Springfield, New Jersey.
- 21 0 Thank you.
- MR. HILL: Your Honor, Mr. Bernstein is a
- 23 diabetic.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When you tell me when you need a
- break, we will take a break. If you want to eat, eat. I do

- ⊥ not care.
- THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
- 3 MR. HILL: Thank you.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: I see you have a cookie.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I have my kit there. I think if we
- just take a break in an hour and-a-half, that will be fine.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I hope it's before that. But if
- 8 you get dizzy, if you get nauseous, if you get sweaty, just
- 9 let me know.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks, Your Honor.
- 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: Those are the symptoms, right?
- 12 THE WITNESS: All kinds, but that's fine, yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Or any other.
- MR. HILL: All right. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sure I'll be fine.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Just let me know.
- 17 MR. HILL: Your Honor, I ask that the written
- 18 direct testimony of Robert Bernstein consisting of 6 pages
- 19 be identified as Alee Exhibit No. 2.
- 20 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Like the other ones, we
- are not counting the declaration page.
- MR. HILL: Correct. 1 have given the court
- reporter two copies of Exhibit No. 2.
- 24 JUDGE STEINBERG: The document described will be
- 25 marked for identification as Alee Exhibit 2.

- 1 (The document referred to was
- 2 marked for identification as
- Alee Exhibit No. 2.)
- 4 BY MR. HILL:
- 5 O Mr. Bernstein, do you have Exhibit 2 in front of
- 6 you?
- 7 A Just a moment.
- g Do you have it in front of you?
- 9 A No, I don't. I mean -- are you talking about my
- 10 direct testimony?
- 11 Q Yes.
- 12 A I left it in the witness room. You told me to
- 13 bring it. I'm sorry.
- 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. We'll go off the record
- 15 while you are doing that.
- 16 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
- 18 BY MR. HILL:
- 0 Mr. Bernstein, do you now have Exhibit 2 in front
- of you?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 O Was that prepared under your supervision?
- A Yes, it was.
- 24 O Are there any corrections?
- 25 A No.

- I Q Is it true and correct to the best of your
- 2 knowledge?
- 3 A Yes, it is.
- 4 MR. HILL: I move the introduction of Exhibit 2.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Any objections?
- 6 MS. LANCASTER: I have just a couple of
- objections, Your Honor. On page 4, line 16, I would like to
- 8 voir dire the witness regarding that first statement right
- 9 there.
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- 11 VOIR DIRE
- 12 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Mr. Bernstein, hi, I'm Judy Lancaster. Hopefully
- 14 you recall me from your deposition.
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q When you say you didn't sign it knowing it was
- false or misleading, you understand that there was a
- 18 specific finding on this very issue in the Algreq case,
- 19 don't you?
- 20 A I'm very much aware of that, but I was -- that it
- was found that I knowingly and intentionally signed a false
- statement, I am very much aware of that.
- 23 Q Look up on first line of this page also. You said
- when you signed the application, you were acting in an
- 25 ministerial role?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Explain to me what the basis of that statement --
- MR. HILL: Your Honor, I think we've gone into
- 4 cross-examination.
- 5 MS. LANCASTER: Well, I
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, we are in the course of
- voir dire. Let's -- it kind of doesn't matter
- 8 MS. LANCASTER: Well, Your Honor, I don't believe
- 9 that --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- 11 MS. LANCASTER: -- asking him what he means by
- 12 ministerial is cross --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's cross.
- 14 MS. LANCASTER: -- cross examination. I want to
- 15 know the basis for his using that word.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: That's cross. It does not
- 17 matter. Whether you ask it now or later, you are going to
- 18 ask it.
- 19 MS. LANCASTER: So can he answer it?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. He may as well answer it
- 21 as long as it is on everybody's mind.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I was given things to sign. I was
- told that somebody needed to sign on behalf of the
- 24 partnership, and Allan Kane wanted me to sign because he
- said he'd always know where I was. He was my father-in-law,

- and he said there are things that have to be signed quickly,
- 2 and filings that have to be done quickly, and he said I just
- 3 need somebody to sign this. I want it to be you Bob.
- 4 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 5 Q By using the word "ministerial," do you mean to
- 6 imply that you had no responsibility for the truthfulness of
- 7 the materials that were in the document you were signing?
- 8 A I realize now that I should have been doing
- 9 independent verification. I signed it in a ministerial
- 10 manner. I was told this was prepared by counsel and it
- needs to be signed, and it needs to be gotten out. I didn't
- 12 compare things with lists or -- I was stupid, okay. But
- that's -- yes, it was signed in a ministerial way.
- 14 0 So the answer to my question is yes?
- 15 A Yes what? I'm sorry.
- 16 0 I said when you signed this, are you trying to
- 17 imply that you had no responsibility for the truthfulness of
- 18 the document you were signing?
- 19 A I had responsibility. I signed it, and there was
- 20 a statement there and I signed it.
- Q Okay. I want to move on to page 6, line 8, the
- 22 sentence that begins "in point of fact.''
- MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I would ask that that
- sentence be stricken. It's irrelevant and it's hearsay.
- MR. HILL: Well, for the purpose that it's being

- 1 proffered, I don't think we're -- no objection.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. The sentence beginning on
- page 6, line 8, "in point of fact," going through line 10,
- 4 "New Mexico" is stricken.
- 5 MS. LANCASTER: I have no further questions, Your
- 6 Honor. I would ask that the word "ministerial" at line 2 on
- 7 page 4 be stricken.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I'll overrule that.
- 9 MR. EVANS: I have no further questions for cross,
- 10 Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Evans, any objections to
- 12 Exhibit 2?
- MR. EVANS: No.
- 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Quianzon?
- 15 MR. QUIANZON: Good morning, Your Honor. We would
- 16 ask that the entirety of page 4 and the first four sentences
- on page 5 which comprise the answer to **a** question at the end
- of page 3, so the entirety of page 4 and the first four
- 19 lines of page 5 be stricken for re-litigating the matters
- that were handled in Algreq.
- 21 MR. HILL: The same position that we've had with
- 22 respect to the earlier.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. This is going to be the
- 24 same ruling. Objection is overruled for the same reasons it
- 25 was in the other exhibits.

- MR. OUIANZON: For the same reasons, we believe 1. that on page 5 beginning half way through line 10, that was 2 the basis for the adverse finding in the Algreg proceeding, 3 4 should be stricken, in part, because it's re-litigation and also, in part, because it draws a legal conclusion. 5 basis for the adverse findings in the Algreg proceeding did 6 not involve the letter amendment but rather Mr. Bernstein's 7 character. 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: The objection will be overruled, 9 and I'm not going to take any legal conclusion that's stated 10 in an indirect case as a legal conclusion. If Mr. Hill 11 wants to argue in his findings that something is based upon 12 this, then you can reply appropriately. So the objection is 13 overruled. 14 MR. QUIANZON: On page 6, line 3, the entirety of 15 the sentence that begins, "the misconduct occurred," 16 continuing onto line 4. Again, it's a re-litigation of the 17 findings of the Algreg proceeding. 18 JUDGE STEINBERG: Same ruling. Overruled. 19 MR. QUIANZON: And finally on that same page 6, 20 the sentence which begins on line 14 and ends on 16 21 beginning with, "furthermore, in 1990 it was Alee.'' Again, 22
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Overruled. I am not going to

  Heritage Reporting Corporation
  (202) 628-4888

23

24

designation order --

we believe this to be a re-litigation in which the hearing

- get that serious weight as I said the other day. I
- 2 understand your arguments, and I cannot say that they are
- 3 entirely incorrect, but I think for the reasons I said the
- 4 other day, I'll leave it in there to show the witness'
- 5 current state of mind which may be helpful to the people
- 6 arguing that Alee hasn't been rehabilitated. So the
- 7 objection is overruled.
- 8 MR. QUIANZON: We understand, Your Honor, thank
- 9 you. No more objections.
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Alee Exhibit 2 is
- 11 received.
- 12 (The document referred to,
- previously identified as Alee
- 14 Exhibit No. 2, was received in
- 15 evidence.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. The little chime I guess
- means Ms. Lancaster starts, right?
- 18 MS. LANCASTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I cannot
- 19 control this computer unfortunately.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Good morning, Mr. Bernstein. How are you?
- 23 A Good morning.
- 24 Q I want to go through your background a little bit
- 25 to start off. My understanding is that you're a lawyer, is

- 1 that correct?
- 2 A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And that you've practiced law for approximately 33
- 4 years, is that correct?
- 5 A Yes. Yes.
- 6 Q What type of practice do you currently have?
- 7 A Estate planning, and I do corporate work.
- 8 Q What type of corporate work?
- 9 A Basically real estate work, commercial
- transactions, loans, mortgages, purchases of buildings,
- 11 houses. I do both commercial and residential.
- 12 Q In the past, have you done other types of legal
- 13 work?
- 14 A I have to a very limited extent when I first
- 15 started out.
- 16 Q What other types?
- 17 A I've done municipal court work. I've handled one
- or two divorces. I would think that would be it. I've
- 19 never done personal injury work. I've been involved in some
- 20 litigation in probate matters.
- 21 Q Do you bankruptcy at all?
- A No, not at all.
- 23 Q So in the course of your work history, you have
- 24 had occasion to work with numbers and financial documents,
- 25 that sort of thing?

- A To a very limited extent. When dealing with
- probate, I would -- there'd be an accounting, but I'm not
- 3 used to dealing with, like, an accountant's financial
- 4 statement. No. I don't do a --
- 5 0 Would you consider the type of work you do to be
- 6 -- do you deal with lots of paperwork?
- 7 A Yes. It's mostly transactional work, paper work,
- 8 wills, trusts, things like that.
- 9 Q In order to do the work well, you would need to be
- 10 analytical?
- 11 A Yes. Yes, I would.
- 12 O You would have to pay attention to detail, is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Yes, I would.
- 15 Q It's very detail oriented work, in fact, isn't it?
- 16 A Yes, it is.
- 17 Q You have to have some type of financial expertise,
- 18 don't you?
- 19 A I'm not sure what you mean by financial expertise.
- 20 Q Well, you're working with, I think you said,
- 21 mortgages, real estate documents.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Frequently they involve -- and particularly
- 24 commercial transactions involve -- money transactions, don't
- 25 they?

- 1 A Yes. They're money transactions, but in other
- words, I'm not involved in calculations or anything like
- 3 that. A mortgage may be \$100,000, and there's all
- 4 supporting documents, affidavits, and so on, but it doesn't
- 5 go into numbers.
- Q Would you not have to figure out the amount of
- 7 filing fees and real estate taxes and things like that, no?
- 8 A Yes. The filing fees, yes, although I'm generally
- 9 not directly involved, yes, we -- as part of the
- 10 transaction, I may have the secretary look up what's the
- filing fee; there's so many pages. We compute what the
- 12 filing fee is. It's nothing sophisticated.
- Okay. During the course of your 33 years as a
- lawyer, I'm assuming you have acquired some type of
- 15 expertise interpreting legal language.
- 16 A Yes.
- 18 correct?
- 19 A Yes, I have.
- 20 Q Case law?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q You've drafted pleadings?
- 23 A In the past, in the early -- yes. It was part of
- 24 my --
- 25 You drafted -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut

- 1 you off. Go ahead.
- 2 A Yes, in the early part of my practice, yes.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q I believe in association with Alee, you frequently
- 6 drafted leases, is that correct?
- 7 A I reviewed and revised leases. There was never an
- 8 occasion where I drafted a lease, but I have in my own
- 9 practice drafted leases.
- 10 Q Have you also as part of your work for Alee sent
- drafts of documents, contracts, pleadings, whatever, to
- 12 Alee's counsel for their consideration?
- 13 A I'm sorry. I didn't quite -- I believe -- what
- 14 did you say? I'm sorry.
- 15 0 I said have you drafted legal documents on behalf
- of Alee, drafted drafts of them, and sent them to Alee's
- 17 legal counsel for their use and consideration?
- 18 A Yes. In other words, something might come in and
- 19 I'd have a comment, and I would draft a revision or
- 20 something like that, yes, yes.
- 21 Q In fact, have you done that with regard to the
- various litigations that Alee has been involved in?
- 23 A If there was a document that pertained to me, an
- 24 affidavit or something like that, I would review it. I
- would suggest revisions if it was my document in the

- 1 litigation. I didn't review anybody else's or.
- 2 Q So your testimony is you'd never just done a rough
- 3 draft and sent it to the attorney of any contract, for
- 4 example, document and sent it to an Alee attorney and said
- 5 how about this as the draft, you know, or here's my initial
- 6 draft; look it over and tell me what you think, that sort of
- 7 thing. You've never done that?
- 8 A I can't recall any contract in Alee where we were
- 9 the initial parties drafting it.
- 10 Q How about a pleading that was filed on behalf of
- 11 Alee?
- 12 A That I would draft an original pleading? I can't
- 13 recall any.
- 15 A I can't recall. I mean, the --
- 16 Q Okay. Do you have any interest or have you ever
- 17 had any interest in any other FCC license other than the
- 18 licenses in the name of Alee?
- 19 A No, I have not.
- 20 Q I believe that you became involved with Alee
- 21 through Allan Kane, is that correct?
- 22 A Yes, that is correct.
- 23 O He was your father-in-law at the time?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 O How did Allan Kane become Alee's manager?

- 1 A He was -- we voted upon at that first meeting in December in 1988.
- 3 Q Did you vote for him?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q You trusted him at that time?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Do you still trust him?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q When did you stop trusting him?
- 10 A Well, the more we got into this litigation and the
- more I started to see things which I was unaware of and in
- 12 particular what I focus on was there was that letter that
- had my name on. It was addressed to me by Bill Franklin
- only it was sent to Allan Kane's address
- 15 Q Now, you're talking about a letter that was
- 16 presented as an exhibit in the Algreg hearing, is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A Yes. I saw it at a deposition
- 19 Q Okay, so up until that time, you still trusted
- 20 Allan Kane?
- 21 A I would say yes. I was unaware that he had
- 22 deceived me.
- O Okay. How about Mr. Sullivan? Who was Mr
- 24 Sullivan?
- 25 A I don't know Mr. Sullivan.